EXHIBIT B

	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	
4	PAUL PAPADAKIS,
5	Plaintiff,
	CIVIL ACTION
6	vs.
	FILE NO. 04-30189-MAP
7	CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.,
. 8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	DEPOSITION OF
12	JOSEPH KENT
13	DELTA CROWN ROOM, TERMINAL A, A-17
14	HARTSFIELD/JACKSON ATLANTA AIRPORT
15	ATLANTA, GEORGIA / DECEMBER 21, 2005
16	11:20 a.m 3:05 p.m.
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY:
	ANNA-MARIE CHEAK, RPR/CSR
20	ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
0.1	ATLANTA OFFICE - 404-872-7890
21	FILE NO: 420079
22	
24	
25	ORIGINAL

42

- A That is my understanding, yes.
- Q Which again, of course, is the type of gear that was on the Papadakis vehicle?
 - A Yes.
- Q There is also a bar that has a configuration that involves a double bend at one end and a single bend at the other?
- A Yes.

6

77

8

.9

- Q You're aware of that?
- 10 A I am.
- Q Are you aware that there's been testimony
 that that is the type of bar Mr. Papadakis was using
 on the day of this incident?
- A I'm aware of that.
- Q If Mr. Papadakis was using the bar with the double bend at one end, it was the inappropriate bar to be using with the particular gear that was on his vehicle?
- A I would agree with that.
- 20 Q Do you agree that the bar that has the
- 21 single bend on each end, that is the appropriate
- 22 0307 Easy-Lift style bar, has a reduced potential
- 23 for causing over-traveling because of the
- configuration of the bends, vis-a-vis, the bumper on
- 25 the vehicle?

43

A I would agree with that.

- 2 Q The bar with the double bend on one end,
- 3 which reportedly Mr. Papadakis was using, does not
- 4 have the potential for avoiding over-travel of the
- 5 stop arm and component parts due to the
- 6 configuration of the double bend, vis-a-vis, the
- 7 bumper?
- 8 A I would say that it does not use the
- 9 bumper as a physical stop to prevent over-travel.
- 10 Q In other words --
- 11 A Whereas the correct bar does. The bar
- 12 itself actually contacts the bumper before you get a
- significant degree of over-travel of the upper side.
- Q And in fact, Mr. Ebert expressed that a
- 15 number of times over the course of his deposition,
- 16 didn't he?
- A I believe he did.
- Q And Mr. Ebert took, literally took the
- 19 double bend bar away from Mr. Ross and told him to
- 20 use this bar, meaning the one with the single bend
- 21 the either end?

24

A I think when Mr. Ross initially tried to lower the gear, I seem to recall -- and again, the deposition speaks for itself, but I do recall some

conversation about that.

5, correct me if I'm wrong, but it would appear that the stop screw is rotated to a greater degree in the photograph than it is in the schematic which is Exhibit 5?

A I would agree with that.

- Q And that's because you were able to -- or someone was able to cause over-travel by using the wrong bar?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Which would not have been possible had the bar with the single bend at either end been used?
 - A I would agree with that.
- Q And when the gear -- or the stop arm,
 rather, and the set screw were in the configuration
 shown in Exhibit No. 6, was an effort made at that
 point to raise the gear?
- 17 A Yes.

11

- Q And what happened when the gear was raised, if anything?
- A Well, the mechanism was basically wedged
- 21 where the cam -- nothing was moving at that point.
- 22 An attempt was made to release the --
- Q Locking pawl?
- A The preload. No, not the locking pawl.
- 25 The locking pawl wouldn't move because there was